

WG2: THE DEVELOPMENT OF A SPECIFIC SET OF CONCEPTS FOR MCMH ANALYSES

by prof. Els De Vos (WG leader 2, University of Antwerp)
For the COST-meeting in Haifa (28.11.2019)

The main goal of the WG2 :

- a) launching a **common and specific vocabulary** related to MCMH;
- b) consolidate sharing **methodology**;
- c) establish a set of **concepts**;
- d) build a key **analytical framework**.

These achievements will be crucial for the adequate indexing of the digital information collected. These skills will be used throughout the Action. It will allow **scientific and rigorous comparative studies** involving all partner' countries. It is possible that it can be applied in the study of other cases outside the scope of the Action.

The main goal of the WG2 :

- a) launching a **common and specific vocabulary** related to MCMH;
- b) consolidate sharing **methodology**;
- c) establish a set of **concepts**;
- d) build a key **analytical framework**.

These achievements will be crucial for the adequate indexing of the digital information collected. These skills will be used throughout the Action. It will allow **scientific and rigorous comparative studies** involving all partner' countries. It is possible that it can be applied in the study of other cases outside the scope of the Action.

Aim of the WG2 :

- to explore **theories of underlying urban-architectural mechanisms** associated with MCMH and to specific intervention of social & cultural practices
- to address the **impact of social and cultural context** upon intervention on MCMH
- to consolidate a **theoretical, scientific and methodological body** that will carry out comparative analyses & critical assessment.
- To help the establishment of a **relationship with the community**, in order to promote participatory strategies and initiate the preparation of workshops.

The WG2 tasks in the MOU:

- 7.) Comparing analyses and critical assessment;
- 8.) Publishing summaries and approaches on the interactive website and participating in the dissemination of the Action by reporting the successive conclusions and sharing results with papers in conferences or seminars;
> relevant, but rather a goal at the end of the project.
- 9.) Organization of 4 Workshops (at least 2 in ITC countries);
- 10.) Organization of STSM in ITC Country (second year).

Our goals were defined as followed:

1. A workshop on the social approach of architecture/planning
2. A workshop on a critical approach of architecture and planning

It was said that we should work in close collaboration with WG 1. We also proposed that each member brings one example of a social practice on a housing case in his/her country.

Paris offered to organise a workshop in the beginning of November 2019 (Yankel Fijakow), while Belgrade offered to organise a meeting in early April 2020 (Maria Milinkovic, Serbia). This means that at least one will take place in an ITC country

Different approaches Different methodologies

Urban analysis/Landscape analysis
Architectural historical analysis
From a building constructive angle
From a sustainability approach
Home Culture/interiors
Social/ethnographical and anthropological analysis

From different disciplines

Urbanism/landscape architecture
Architectural history
Engineering
Engineering
Interior architects/designers
Sociologist/ ethnographers/anthropologists

HOUSING as INTERDISCIPLINARY TOPIC



Home Cultures ***The Journal of Architecture, Design and Domestic Space (Bloomsbury)***

“Home Cultures is an interdisciplinary, peer-reviewed journal dedicated to the critical understanding of the domestic sphere, its artifacts, spaces and relations, across timeframes and cultures. 'Home' is a highly fluid and contested site of human existence that reflects and reifies identities and values.

In this context *Home Cultures* explores the relationship between body and building, consumption, material culture, the meaning of home, moving cultures and social consequences of planning and architecture.” (Mission statement)

How to define Middle Class Mass housing from the different perspectives?

2 questions:

- Middle Class housing?
- Mass housing?

The WG2 tasks in the MOU:

- 1.) Assembling and selection of existing data and research with social approaches on MCMH;
- 2.) Defining a common methodology;

- 3.) Deepening existing concepts and developing new ones;

- 4.) Developing a systematic framework of analysis;
- 5.) Mapping the social approaches on MCMH;

- 6.) Analysing the changing views and aged residents;
- 7.) Comparing analyses and critical assessment;
- 8.) Publishing summaries and approaches on the interactive website and participating in the dissemination of the Action by reporting the successive conclusions and sharing results with papers in conferences or seminars;
- 9.) Organization of 4 Workshops (at least 2 in ITC countries);
- 10.) Organization of STSM in ITC Country (second year).

The WG2 tasks in the MOU:

Assembling and selection of existing data and research with social approaches on MCMH;

- we could do that by means of case studies of each country. It will be important to work closely together with WG1. WG 2 is in between WG 1 and WG 3.

2.) Defining a common methodology;

- As each country has different rules, we need to define parameters of comparison:
 - Political systems
 - Time frames
 - Geographical position
 - Architectural culture
 - Urban and regional planning

Also this should happen in collaboration with WG 1.

3.) Deepening existing concepts and developing new ones;

- There is need for a glossary on definitions to define terminology

4.) Developing a systematic framework of analysis;

5.) Mapping the social approaches on MCMH;

> it is proposed that each WG2 member gives an example of how cases are approached sociologically

6.) Analysing the changing views and aged residents;

- There was some discussion if the analysis is on planning level (the neighborhood, changing residents, ...) or on the level of housing/living (ways of inhabitation). The opinions on this topic were divided.

	% social housing	% home ownership	% apartments	% detached housing	% attached housing	% semi-detached housing	% rural housing	% suburban	% urban housing	interior, process of inhabitation	architecture (structure, architectural elements, units)	urbanism, neighborhood, community	< 1945	1945-1980	1980-2008	> 2008	housing stock			
HONGRY	2,6	97,4					27,6		72,4				16,4	43,2	36,2	4,2	4455491			
GREECE	Around 5%	Around 85% in 2005	Most of the buildings in Greece are multi-story apartment buildings								the typical Greek polykatoikia			Most of the polykatoikias built within this period						
France	Around 5%	Around 85% in 2005	Most of the buildings in Greece are multi-story apartment buildings								the typical Greek polykatoikia			Most of the polykatoikias built within this period						
	Kind of housing			housing typology				location			scale		age of the housing stock (%)							
Country	% social housing	% private rental	% home ownership	% apartments	% detached housing	% attached housing	% semi-detached housing	% rural housing	% suburban	% urban housing	interior, process of inhabitation	(structure, architectural elements, units)	urbanism, neighborhood, community	< 1945	1945-1980	1980-2008	> 2008	housing stock		
BELGIUM	6,5	23	70,4	30	42	32	25,9				interior, process of inhabitation mainly single family houses	highrise till '1970		40	35	25				
Hungary	2,6		97,4					27,6		72,4				16,4	43,2	36,2	4,2	4455491		
POLAND	8,4 communal, social or work flat +3,2 cooperative	5,5	72,7 + 8,9 credit	50	45,2	4,6	<+	32,5		67,5	28,2m2/1person 2,66 person /apartment	74,2m2, 3,82 room /apartment		11,1	41,3	28,6	7,6 +3,4?		2011, 2017, 2018	https://stat.gov.pl/obszary-tematyczne/infrastruktura-komunalna-nieruchomosci/nieruchomosci-budynki-
ITALY	Hill of Vomero , Naples (Italy)											Mainly apartment buildings	High density during the '60s		40,0%	60,0%				